

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/08/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,875	03/25/2004	Michael Dlugoleski	1769082-0	5507
42325 7	7590 12/08/2004		EXAMINER	
DOHERTY, WALLACE, PILLSBURY & MURPHY, P.C. ONE MONARCH PLACE, SUITE 1900 1414 MAIN STREET			BENNETT, GEORGE B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SPRINGFIELD, MA 01144-1900			2859	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		/ M				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_			
	10/807,875	DLUGOLĖSKI, MICHAEL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_			
	G. Bradley Bennett	2859				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	-			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may be arrived patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repreply within the statutory minimum of thirty tod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT thus, cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25	5 March 2004.					
	his action is non-final.					
• •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Irawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 25 March 2004 is/ard Applicant may not request that any objection to to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obje he drawing(s) be held in abeyand rection is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in Ap monty documents have been r eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2.		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 4, 5 and 10-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 4 and 5 refer back to previously claimed slotted assembly and securing means. However, these features were previously claimed in the alternative, and therefore have not definitely been set forth.

Claim 10: This claim is unclear because it sets forth "a jamb". However, a jamb according to the specification is the frame where a door, window, or similar opening appears. Furthermore, claim 14 sets forth that the "level" is for use with a jamb. Therefore, it appears that the jamb is not meant to be claimed in claim 10. Please clarify.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 2859

3. Claims 1-4 and 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Schaeffer et al..

4. Schaeffer et al. discloses the invention as claimed where: 1,6 are a horizontal component/connector which are first and second overlying members with an adjustor element with a member 12 that can be loosened for easterly/westerly adjustment; 14 are vertical components that join to the horizontal component; the device may be connected to a jamb, in which case it will square, level and plumb the jamb; 12 can also be considered to be a handle, since the device can be gripped by this member; 5, 20 are levels.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 10-13 and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schaeffer et al..
- 7. Schaeffer et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Schaeffer et al. does not disclose the second level as claimed. Official Notice is taken that it is old and well-known to duplicate parts for a multiplied effect.

 Furthermore, the courts have held that it is obvious to duplicate parts for multiplied effect [see St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., Inc., 193 USPQ 8, 11 (7th)

Application/Control Number: 10/807,875

Art Unit: 2859

Cir. 1977)]. Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made for one of ordinary skill in the art to use a plurality of levels as taught by Schaeffer et al. in the manner claimed for the purpose of permitting a plurality of measurements to be made simultaneously.

- 8. Claims 5 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schaeffer et al. in view of Hale et al. (US Pat. No. 6,615,500).
- 9. Schaeffer et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Schaeffer et al. does not disclose attaching means for connecting the level to a wall or jamb. Hale et al. discloses how attaching means 82 can be used for attaching a level to a door jamb. Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made for one of ordinary skill in the art to use attaching means as taught by Hale et al. in conjunction with the level taught by Schaeffer et al. for the purpose of attaching the Schaeffer et al. device to a jamb.
- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to G. Bradley Bennett whose telephone number is 571.272.2237. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 8:30-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diego F.F. Gutierrez can be reached on 571.272.2245. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2859

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (tollfree).

> Primary Examiner Art Unit 2859

gbb 5 DEC 2004